## United States District Court

for the

District of South Carolina

Scottle Ray Edgeworth    Case No: 4:07-cr-00208-TLW-4     USM No: 15080-171     Date of Original Judgment: 03/26/2008     Date of Previous Amended Judgment: 11/01/2010     Mark C. McLawhorn     Defendant of Automore	1	United States of America	ı	
Date of Original Judgment:  Date of Previous Amended Judgment:    Date of Previous Amended Judgment:   Date of Previous Amended Judgment   1/101/2010   Mark C. McLawhorn   Defendant's Attorney			:	)
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)  Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:    DENIED	Date of Previous	s Amended Judgment:		) Mark C. McLawhorn
Upon motion of  the defendant  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:    DENIED		ORDER REGARD	ING MOTION	N FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
\$ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. \$ 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,  IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to 91 months  (Complete Parts 1 and 11 of Page 2 when motion is granted)  If this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 11/01/2010 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: 09/24/2015 s/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: 11/01/2015 Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge		PUR	SUANT TO 18	8 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)  If this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 11/01/2010 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: 09/24/2015 s/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: 11/01/2015 Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge	\$ 3582(c)(2) for subsequently bees \$ 994(u), and ha and the sentencial T IS ORDEREDEN	a reduction in the term of en lowered and made retriving considered such mong factors set forth in 18 ED that the motion is:	of imprisonment improactive by the Unitotion, and taking int U.S.C. § 3553(a), the and the defendant's	posed based on a guideline sentencing range that has ted States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. to account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 to the extent that they are applicable,
f this sentence is less than the amount of time Defendant has already served, this sentence is reduced to a time-served sentence of imprisonment.  Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated	the last judgment is:	sued) of <u>144</u>	mo	nths <b>is reduced to</b> 91 months .
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: 09/24/2015 s/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: 11/01/2015 Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge				
Order Date: 09/24/2015 s/ Terry L. Wooten  Judge's signature  Effective Date: 11/01/2015 Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge	•	•	ons of the judgmen	t dated11/01/2010 shall remain in effect.
Effective Date: 11/01/2015 Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge	IT IS SO ORDI	ERED.		
Effective Date: 11/01/2015 Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge	Order Date:	09/24/2015		
Bricetive Bate.				Judge's signature
15 - 37	Effective Date:	11/01/2015 (if different from order date)		Terry L. Wooten, Chief United States District Judge  Printed name and title